

Reasons For Failure To Give Adjuvant Chemotherapy In Early Breast Cancer - Interactive Visual Analysis Of Clinical Data With The TourGuide Software

Authors

David Fuchs¹, Sebastian Graf², Thomas Gitter³, Steffen Krause², Klaus Eckelt⁴, Sandra Wartner⁵, Holger Stitz⁴, Dominic Girardi⁵, Marc Streit⁴, Clemens A. Schmitt¹

1 Universitätsklinik für Hämatologie und Internistische Onkologie, Kepler Universitätsklinikum, Johannes Kepler Universität, Linz

2 Klinik für Urologie und Andrologie, Kepler Universitätsklinikum, Linz

3 Zentrales Radiologie Institut, Kepler Universitätsklinikum, Linz

4 Institut für Computergrafik, Johannes Kepler Universität, Linz

5 RISC Software GmbH, Hagenberg

Introduction

Complex clinical data is challenging to analyze. As part of the TourGuide project we created a visual tool for this task. We aimed to identify factors that lead to patients with breast cancer forgoing chemotherapy in a real-world setting.

Materials and Methods

We used the Calumma and Ordino software to analyze 1,549 patients from our tumor-database in settings where neo(adjuvant) chemotherapy is necessary: triple-negative or Her2-positive tumors >5mm (groups 1+2), or ER-positive, Her2-negative, nodal-positive tumors (3). Factors were identified with visualization and assessed with Ordino's "touring" feature that supports analysts in generating and confirming hypotheses.

Results

In group 1, factors are, in patients ≥ 65 , age (Enrichment-Score [ES] 13.723, $p < 0.001$) and marital status (adjusted Rand-index [RI] 0.106, $p = 0.019$). Tumor grading shows up on visualization but is not significant ($p = 0.080$). In group 2, factors in older patients are T-stage (RI 0.059, $p = 0.023$), age (ES 14.062, $p < 0.001$), type of surgery (RI 0.034, $p = 0.095$; not significant). There are no factors in younger patients. In group 3, factors in older patients are grading (RI 0.047, $p = 0.039$), age (ER 26.336, $p < 0.001$) and nodal-status (RI 0.045, $p = 0.074$) and in younger patients, T-stage (RI 0.071, $p < 0.001$) and grading (RI 0.038, $p = 0.012$).

Conclusion

Visual analysis of clinical data helped to identify factors for treatment decisions that may not be immediately obvious.

Note: The software that was used to make the findings is described in the abstract “TourGuide: Interactive Visual Analysis of Clinical Oncology Data”.

This project was partly funded by the State of Upper Austria (FFG #851460).